

ECHO ROUNDS Section Editor: Edmund Kenneth Kerut, M.D. _____

Diagnosis of an Anatomically and Physiologically Significant Patent Foramen Ovale

Edmund Kenneth Kerut, M.D.,* Stacey Lee, M.D.,† and Ervin Fox, M.D.‡

*Departments of Physiology and Pharmacology, LSU Health Sciences Center, New Orleans, Louisiana, Heart Clinic of Louisiana, Marrero, Louisiana, †School of Medicine, LSU Health Sciences Center, New Orleans, Louisiana, and ‡Division of Cardiology, University of Mississippi, School of Medicine, Jackson, Mississippi

(ECHOCARDIOGRAPHY, Volume 23, October 2006)

echocardiography, TEE, decompression sickness, PFO, diver

The presence of an anatomically and physiologically significant patent foramen ovale (PFO) appears to be associated with several different clinical conditions,¹ including unexplained neurological decompression sickness (DCS) in divers²⁻⁷ and aviators/astronauts,⁸⁻⁹ cryptogenic stroke,¹⁰⁻¹⁵ and the platypnea-orthodoxia syndrome.¹⁶⁻²⁰ Recently, it appears that migraine headache also may be associated with a PFO.²¹⁻²⁵

Definition

A PFO is a common anatomical finding in the general population, with an autopsy incidence of 27–29%.^{26,27} It is a remnant of the fetal circulation, as oxygenated placental blood enters the right atrium (RA) via the inferior vena cava (IVC), and then crosses the valve of the foramen ovale to enter the systemic arterial system.²⁸ IVC flow is preferentially directed toward the interatrial septum and the foramen ovale. Superior vena cava (SVC) flow is directed away from the interatrial septum by the crista interveniens. In addition, coronary sinus flow is directed away from the interatrial

septum.²⁹ After birth, the flap of the foramen ovale (septum primum) will close against the atrial septum (septum secundum), usually occurring within the first 2 years. Incomplete fusion results in an oblique slit-like valve, termed a PFO. Why fusion is incomplete in these individuals is not known, but one study found that siblings of women with a PFO were more likely to have a PFO than siblings of women without a PFO.³⁰

PFOs vary in anatomical size and physiological function. In a patient presenting to the echocardiography laboratory with one of the aforementioned clinical diagnoses, characterization of a PFO anatomically and physiologically is important. This anatomical and physiologic assessment may help the clinician decide if a PFO likely is associated with the presenting diagnosis, or is an incidental clinically insignificant finding.¹

Before the advent of echocardiography, diagnosis of right-to-left shunts was problematic, as generally there is no typical abnormality on physical examination, electrocardiogram or chest x-ray. Unless a right heart catheter or pacemaker wire inadvertently crosses into the LA, these techniques are not suitable for PFO diagnosis. Generally, methods such as oximetry or indocyanine green curves are not useful for PFO detection, as the amount of shunting is below a threshold level of detection using these techniques.³¹⁻³³

Address for correspondence and reprint requests: Edmund Kenneth Kerut, M.D., Departments of Physiology and Pharmacology, LSU Health Sciences Center, New Orleans, Louisiana, Heart Clinic of Louisiana, 1111 Medical Center Blvd, Suite N613, Marrero, Louisiana 70072. Fax: 504-349-6621; E-mail: kenkerut@pol.net

Echocardiography for PFO Detection

Echocardiography has emerged as the principle method for diagnosis and assessment of PFO, particularly the modalities transthoracic echocardiography (TTE) and transesophageal echocardiography (TEE).¹ TTE is used initially with saline contrast for detection of a right-to-left shunt. Using bacteriostatic saline containing benzyl alcohol as a preservative keeps agitated bubbles in solution longer, and appears to be better than that from an intravenous saline bag.³⁴ TTE imaging for contrast injections is performed from an apical four-chamber view, as parasternal windows will cause contrast filling the right heart to impair visualization of the LA and left ventricle (LV).

Consistent with shunting at the atrial level is saline contrast appearing in the left heart within three cardiac cycles of its appearance within the right heart. If contrast appears within the left heart only after greater than three cycles, it most likely has traversed the pulmonary circulation and arrived within the left heart via a pulmonary arteriovenous (AV) fistula.^{1,34}

Several studies suggest a higher detection rate for right-to-left shunting when saline contrast injections are performed via a lower extremity vein, as compared to the right antecubital vein.^{35,36} This finding may very well be reflective of IVC and SVC flow patterns entering the RA in utero.^{28,29}

If saline contrast is noted in the left heart by TTE, or if by TEE color flow Doppler or contrast is noted to flow right to left during normal respirations, this is termed a resting PFO.¹ When a resting PFO is detected, this often represents a physiologically significant PFO, and is associated with DCS,²⁻⁷ cryptogenic stroke,^{10-14,37} and platypnea-orthodeoxia.^{19,20} By TTE and TEE, the Valsalva maneuver (and also repetitive cough) may enhance atrial level shunting,^{1,38} because of an increase in right heart pressures.³⁹ However, it appears that a resting PFO may have a higher risk of a clinical event, than that of a PFO that shunts only during a provocative maneuver.^{1,37}

TEE versus TTE

For both antecubital and femoral routes of saline injection, TTE detection of a right-to-left shunt is lower than TEE, which appears to be the present-day reference standard for

PFO diagnosis. TEE allows for direct visualization of the interatrial septum and also saline contrast shunting through a PFO. Recently, however, TTE using native tissue harmonics has had an improved sensitivity and specificity (compared to TTE with fundamental imaging), using TEE as the reference standard.^{40,41} In addition, it appears that the Valsalva maneuver and cough are performed with a better effort compared to TEE, notably because of the esophageal probe position and sedation for the TEE intubation procedure.³⁴ Doppler of mitral inflow using peripherally injected agitated saline appears almost as sensitive as TEE for PFO detection.⁴² An automated scoring system using this method correlated with PFO size as measured by TEE.

It is felt by several investigators that a high quality TTE (using native tissue harmonics) with saline contrast should exclude a PFO with a predictive value similar to that of TEE.^{34,41} However, TTE studies that are less than excellent in quality should proceed to TEE to “rule out” a PFO. In addition, if a PFO (atrial level right-to-left shunt) is detected by TTE, a TEE should be performed to further evaluate the atrial septum.

PFO Quantification and Clinical Application

Measurement of PFO anatomical size by direct measurement of the slit-like defect width is often possible.^{1,42,43} When using color Doppler to aid in measurement of slit-width, the measurement may slightly overestimate size, as compared to autopsy correlation.⁴⁴

Determination of both anatomical size and functional significance of a PFO have been performed mostly in evaluation of patients with cryptogenic stroke, with the source presumptively paradoxical embolism through the PFO.⁴⁵⁻⁴⁷ Reports in the literature document thrombi “wedged” within a PFO in patients presenting with stroke.^{1,48,49} In addition, other findings often noted in patients with cryptogenic stroke implicating a PFO as the potential source include the following:

1. The cerebral infarct territory usually suggests an embolic source.^{50,51}
2. Anatomically larger sized PFOs and also resting PFOs are more frequently noted in patients with cryptogenic stroke than controls.^{1,51-55}

3. There appears to be a significant incidence of deep venous thrombosis (6% to 27%), pelvic thrombus (20%), and calf vein thrombus in patients with cryptogenic stroke.⁵⁶⁻⁶⁰

Detection of saline contrast (normal respiration and Valsalva maneuver) within the left heart has been used as criteria for grading the right-to-left shunt through a PFO.^{43,45-47} These reports, however, use various amounts of LA saline contrast as criteria for grading PFO functional significance. In general, the larger the PFO anatomically measured (normal respirations and Valsalva maneuver) and the larger the number of "bubbles" counted in the LA in a single "frozen frame" (normal respirations and Valsalva maneuver) the more likely a patient was to have multiple neurological lesions detected by MRI or CT of the brain. Generally, a "small shunt" would have <5 bubbles noted in the LA in a single frame, a "moderate shunt" as 5-25 bubbles, and a "large shunt" as >25 bubbles.^{1,34}

Associated Anatomical Structures

As part of the PFO evaluation in the echocardiography laboratory, one should look for associated anatomical structures, namely that of an atrial septal aneurysm (ASA)⁶¹⁻⁶⁶ and the Chiari network.⁶⁷ An ASA is defined as a total septal excursion of 15 mm or more.^{65,68} Its incidence by autopsy study is 1%,⁶⁹ and 0.22% by TTE.⁷⁰ In patients with cryptogenic stroke, an ASA appears to be more prevalent than in control subjects (15% vs 4%).⁶⁵ When an ASA is detected in the general population, it is associated with a PFO in about 70%. In addition, patients having an ASA with PFO tend to have larger PFOs both anatomically and physiologically.¹ This association appears to be important when evaluating a PFO for significance in a patient presenting with cryptogenic stroke.⁷¹

The Chiari network are fibers originating from either the Eustachian or Thebesian valve, and are attached to the upper wall of the RA or the interatrial septum. These fibers result from incomplete resorption of the septum spurium and the right valve of the sinus venosus.⁷² The autopsy incidence of the Chiari network is reported as 2-3%.⁷³ A prominent Eustachian valve may be mobile and fenestrated, but it does not attach to the upper wall of the RA or to the interatrial septum. This, by definition, should not be termed a Chiari network. The TEE inci-

dence of the Chiari network is 2% (TTE <1%). A PFO was detected in 83% of patients with the Chiari network, and the right-to-left shunt was noted to be more "intense." In addition, a coexistent ASA was found in ~20% of patients.⁶⁷ It appears that an ASA and the Chiari network are found together more frequently than in the general population, and when a PFO coexists (70% of patients with ASA have PFO), these patients appear to have a larger PFO both anatomically and physiologically.

Although TEE is considered the reference standard for detection of PFO, both false-positive and false-negative studies may occur. As discussed earlier, there is a lower saline contrast detection rate by injection of contrast via the antecubital vein as opposed to the femoral venous route. This is due to IVC directed flow toward the interatrial septum, and SVC flow directed laterally. Other false-negative TEE results may occur from inadequate imaging within the esophagus, an improper Valsalva maneuver, or elevated LA pressures, thus preventing right-to-left shunting of saline contrast.^{74,75} A false-positive contrast study may occur with a shunt through the atrial septum other than a PFO. Most often, this is a secundum atrial septal defect.⁷⁶ A pulmonary arteriovenous fistula will allow saline contrast to enter the LA from the right heart, but usually contrast will appear within the LA with a delayed appearance (usually >3 cardiac cycles). In addition, so-called "debris" may be seen to enter the LA from the pulmonary veins, especially after release of the Valsalva maneuver.^{77,78}

In summary, a few concluding comments include:

1. PFO is common in the general population.
2. Not all PFOs are the same. Anatomical size and physiology varies from patient to patient.
3. PFO anatomical size and physiological functional "size" are not necessarily correlated.
4. Several clinical diagnoses appear to be associated with anatomically and physiologically significant PFOs, including DCS (divers, high-altitude pilots, and astronauts), cryptogenic stroke, platypnea-orthodoxia, and migraine headache.
5. An ASA and the Chiari network are associated with each other and with larger PFOs
6. TEE is presently the reference standard for diagnosis of PFO along with its anatomical and physiologic significance.

7. Methodology in TEE evaluation for PFO is important.
8. Although TEE is presently the reference standard for PFO diagnosis, both false-positive, and false-negative results may occur.
9. Several studies of patients with cryptogenic stroke and DCS have correlated an increased prevalence of PFO and PFO "size."
10. Characterization of PFO functional "size" has varied from study to study, with different criteria used for severity grading of a shunt.
11. In the proper clinical context, a "resting" PFO detected by TTE may be clinically significant.

PFO is implicated in several clinical diagnoses in patients presenting to the echocardiography laboratory. It appears that the size of a detected PFO should be evaluated, as the risk for atrial level transit of emboli appears to be greater with larger lesions. In addition, the echocardiographer should evaluate for an ASA and a Chiari network, because of the association with a significant PFO.

Patients with a PFO can be categorized according to several characteristics (large/small PFO, normal respiration/Valsalva-induced shunting, associated anatomical structures). Which methodology for evaluation of a PFO's features that are clinically important in assessment of an associated diagnosis is not certain. The question remains as to which PFO is significant for a given clinical diagnosis.

References

1. Kerut EK, Norfleet WT, Plotnick GD, et al: Patent foramen ovale: A review of associated conditions and the impact of physiological size. *J Am Coll Cardiol* 2001;38:613-623.
2. Kerut EK, Truax WD, Borreson TE, et al: Detection of right to left shunts in decompression sickness in divers. *Am J Cardiol* 1997;79(3):377-378.
3. Wilmshurst PT, Byrne JC, Webb-Peploe MM: Relation between interatrial shunts and decompression sickness in divers. *Lancet* 1989;2(8675):1302-1306.
4. Torti SR, Billinger M, Schwerzmann M, et al: Risk of decompression illness among 230 divers in relation to the presence and size of patent foramen ovale. *Eur Heart J* 2004;25(12):1014-1020.
5. Germonpre P, Dendale P, Unger P, et al: Patent foramen ovale and decompression sickness in sports divers. *J Appl Physiol* 1998;84(5):1622-1626.
6. Knauth M, Ries S, Pohimann S, et al: Cohort study of multiple brain lesions in sport divers: Role of a patent foramen ovale. *BMJ* 1997;314(7082):701-705.
7. Moon RE, Camporesi EM, Kisslo JA: Patent foramen ovale and decompression sickness in divers. *Lancet* 1989;1(8637):513-514.
8. Clark JB, Hayes GB: Patent foramen ovale and type ii altitude decompression sickness (abstr). *Aviat Space Environ Med* 1991;62:445.
9. Powell MR, Norfleet WT, Kumar KV, et al: Patent foramen ovale and hypobaric decompression. *Aviat Space Environ Med* 1995;66:273-275.
10. Di Tullio M, Sacco RL, Venketasubramanian N, et al: Comparison of diagnostic techniques for the detection of a patent foramen ovale in stroke patients. *Stroke* 1993;24:1020-1024.
11. Webster MW, Chancellor AM, Smith HJ, et al: Patent foramen ovale in young stroke patients. *Lancet* 1988;2:11-12.
12. Jones HR Jr, Caplan LR, Come PC, et al: Cerebral emboli of paradoxical origin. *Ann Neurol* 1983;13:314-319.
13. Lechat P, Mas JL, Lascault G: Prevalence of patent foramen ovale in patients with stroke. *N Engl J Med* 1988;318:1148-1152.
14. Di Tullio M, Sacco RL, Gopal A, et al: Patent foramen ovale as a risk factor for cryptogenic stroke. *Ann Intern Med* 1992;117:461-465.
15. Overell JR, Bone I, Lees KR: Interatrial septal abnormalities and stroke: A meta-analysis of case-control studies. *Neurology* 2000;55:1172-1179.
16. Altman M, Robin ED: Platypnea (difuse Zone I phenomenon?). *N Engl J Med* 1969;281:1347-1348.
17. Robin ED, Laman D, Horn BR, et al: Platypnea related to orthodeoxia caused by true vascular lung shunts. *N Engl J Med* 1976;294:941-943.
18. Seward JB, Hayes DL, Smith HC, et al: Platypnea-orthodeoxia: Clinical profile, diagnostic workup, management, and report of seven cases. *Mayo Clin Proc* 1984;59:221-231.
19. Murray KD, Kalanges LK, Weiland JE, et al: Platypnea-orthodeoxia: An unusual indication for surgical closure of a patent foramen ovale. *J Card Surg* 1991;6:62-67.
20. Godart F, Rey C, Prat A, et al: Atrial right-to-left shunting causing severe hypoxaemia despite normal right-sided pressures: Report of 11 consecutive cases corrected by percutaneous closure. *Eur Heart J* 2000;21:483-489.
21. Anzola GP, Mangoni M, Guindani M, et al: Potential source of cerebral embolism in migraine with aura: A Transcranial Doppler Study. *Neurology* 1999;52:1622-1625.
22. Del Sette M, Angeli S, Leandri M, et al: Migraine with aura and right-to-left shunt on transcranial Doppler: A Case-Control Study. *Cerebrovasc Dis* 1998;8(6):327-330.
23. Wilmshurst P, Nightingale S: Relationship between migraine and cardiac and pulmonary right-to-left shunts. *Clin Sci* 2001;100:215-220.
24. Lamy C, Giannesini C, Zuber M: For the Patent Foramen Ovale and Atrial Septal Aneurism Study Group: Clinical and imaging findings in cryptogenic stroke patients with and without patent foramen ovale. The PFO-ASA Study. *Stroke* 2002;33:706-711.
25. Sztajzel R, Genoud D, Roth S, et al: Patent foramen ovale, a possible cause of symptomatic migraine: A study of 74 patients with acute ischemic stroke. *Cerebrovasc Dis* 2002;13:102-106.
26. Thompson T, Evans W: Paradoxical embolism. *Q J Med* 1930;23:135-152.

27. Hagen PT, Scholz DG, Edwards WD: Incidence and size of patent foramen ovale during the first 10 decades of life: An autopsy study of 965 normal hearts. *Mayo Clin Proc* 1984;59:17–20.
28. Moore KL: *The Developing Human: Clinically Oriented Embryology*, 2nd Ed. Philadelphia, PA, W.B. Saunders, 1977.
29. Heymann MA, Creasy RK, Rudolph AM: Quantitation of blood flow patterns in the foetal lamb in utero. In: *Proceedings of the Sir Joseph Barcroft Centenary Symposium: Foetal and Neonatal Physiology*. Cambridge, MA, Cambridge University Press, 1973, pp. 129–135.
30. Arquizan C, Coste J, Touboul P-J, et al: Is patent foramen ovale a family trait? A transcranial Doppler sonographic study. *Stroke* 2001;32:1563–1566.
31. Cheng TO: Paradoxical embolism: A diagnostic challenge and its detection during life. *Circulation* 1976;53:565–568.
32. Karttunen V, Ventila M, Hillbom M, et al: Dye dilution and oximetry for detection of patent foramen ovale. *Acta Neurol Scand* 1998;97:231–236.
33. Boehrer JD, Lange RA, Willard JE, et al: Advantages and limitations of methods to detect, localize and quantitate intracardiac right-to-left and bi-directional shunting. *Am Heart J* 1993;125:215–220.
34. Gill EA, Quaife RA: The echocardiographer and the diagnosis of patent foramen ovale. In Gill Edward A. and Carroll John D. (eds): *Patent Foramen Ovale*, Cardiology Clinics 2005;213(1):47–52.
35. Hamann GF, Schatzer-Klotz D, Frohlig G, et al: Femoral injection of echo contrast medium may increase the sensitivity of testing for a patent foramen ovale. *Neurology* 1998;50:1423–1428.
36. Gin KG, Huckell VF, Pollick C: Femoral vein delivery of contrast medium enhances transthoracic echocardiographic detection of patent foramen ovale. *J Am Coll Cardiol* 1993;22:1994–2000.
37. De Castro S, Cartoni D, Fiorelli M, et al: Morphological and functional characteristics of patent foramen ovale and their embolic implications. *Stroke* 2000;31:2407–2413.
38. Stoddard MF, Keedy DL, Dawkins PR: The cough test is superior to the Valsalva maneuver in the delineation of right-to-left shunting through a patent foramen ovale during contrast transesophageal echocardiography. *Am Heart J* 1993;125(1):185–189.
39. Reagan BW, Helmcke F, Kerut EK: Commonly used respiratory and pharmacological interventions in the echocardiography laboratory. *Echocardiography* 2005;22(5):455–460.
40. Camp GV, Franken P, Melis P, et al: Comparison of transthoracic echocardiography with second harmonic imaging with transesophageal echocardiography in the detection of right to left shunts. *Am J Cardiol* 2000;86:1284–1287.
41. Ha JW, Shin MS, Kang S, et al: Enhanced detection of right-to-left shunt through patent foramen ovale by transthoracic contrast echocardiography using harmonic imaging. *Am J Cardiol* 2001;87:669–671.
42. Kerr AJ, Buck T, Chia K, et al: Transmitral Doppler: A new transthoracic contrast method for patent foramen ovale detection and quantification. *J Am Coll Cardiol* 2000;36:1959–1966.
43. Schuchlenz HW, Weihs W, Horner S, et al: The association between the diameter of a patent foramen ovale and the risk of embolic cerebrovascular events. *Am J Med* 2000;109:456–462.
44. Schneider B, Zienkiewicz T, Jansen V, et al: Diagnosis of patent foramen ovale by transesophageal echocardiography and correlation with autopsy findings. *Am J Cardiol* 1996;77:1202–1209.
45. Di Tuillio M, Sacco RL, Venketasubramanian N, et al: Comparison of diagnostic techniques for the detection of a patent foramen ovale in stroke patients. *Stroke* 1993;24:1020–1024.
46. Job FP, Ringelstein EB, Grafen Y, et al: Comparison of transcranial contrast Doppler sonography and transesophageal contrast echocardiography for the detection of patent foramen ovale in young stroke patients. *Am J Cardiol* 1994;74:381–384.
47. Stone DA, Godard J, Corretti MC, et al: Patent foramen ovale: Association between the degree of shunt by contrast transesophageal echocardiography and the risk of future ischemic neurologic events. *Am Heart J* 1998;131:158–161.
48. Kerut EK, McIlwain EF, Plotnick GD: *Handbook of Echo-Doppler Interpretation*, 2nd edition. Elmsford, New York, Blackwell-Futura Publishing, 2004, p. 222.
49. Nachimuthu S, Ashby K, Humphers G, et al: Utility of echocardiography and serum troponin levels in pulmonary embolism. *Echocardiography* 2005;22(2):151–153.
50. Sacco RL, Ellenberg JH, Mohr JP, et al: Infarcts of undetermined cause: The NINCDS Stroke Data Bank. *Ann Neurol* 1989;25:382–390.
51. Steiner MM, Di Tullio MR, Rundek T, et al: Patent foramen ovale size and embolic brain imaging findings among patients with ischemic stroke. *Stroke* 1998;29:944–948.
52. Homma S, Sacco RL, Di Tullio MR, et al: For the PFO in Cryptogenic Stroke Study (PICSS) Investigators. Effect of medical treatment in stroke patients with patent foramen ovale: Patent foramen ovale in cryptogenic stroke study. *Circulation* 2002;105:2625–2631.
53. De Castro S, Cartoni D, Fiorelli M, et al: Morphological and functional characteristics of patent foramen ovale and their embolic implications. *Stroke* 2000;31:2407–2413.
54. Petty GW, Khandheria BK, CHu C-P, et al: Patent foramen ovale in patients with cerebral infarction. *Arch Neurol* 1997;54:819–822.
55. Schuchlenz HW, Weihs W, Horner S, et al: The association between the diameter of a patent foramen ovale and the risk of embolic cerebrovascular events. *Am J Med* 2000;109:456–462.
56. Bogousslavsky J, Garazi S, Jeanrenaud X, et al: For the Lausanne Stroke with Paradoxical Embolism Study Group: Stroke recurrence in patients with patent foramen ovale: The Lausanne Study. *Neurology* 1996;46:1301–1305.
57. Lethen H, Flachskampf FA, Schneider R, et al: Frequency of deep vein thrombosis in patients with patent foramen ovale and ischemic stroke or transient ischemic attack. *Am J Cardiol* 1997;80:1066–1069.
58. Ranoux D, Cohen A, Cabanes L, et al: Patent foramen ovale: Is stroke due to paradoxical embolism? *Stroke* 1993;24:31–34.
59. Gautier JC, Durr A, Koussa S, et al: Paradoxical cerebral embolism with a patent foramen ovale. *Cerebrovasc Dis* 1991;1:193–202.
60. Cramer SC, Maki JH, Waitches GM, et al: Paradoxical emboli from calf and pelvic veins in cryptogenic stroke. *J Neuroimaging* 2003;13:218–223.
61. Mas JL: Patent foramen ovale, atrial septal aneurysm and ischaemic stroke in young adults. *Eur Heart J* 1994;15:446–449.

SIGNIFICANT PFO DIAGNOSIS

62. Mas JL, Arquizan C, Lamy C, et al: Recurrent cerebrovascular events associated with patent foramen ovale, atrial septal aneurysm, or both. *N Engl J Med* 2001;345:1740–1746.
63. Fox ER, Picard MH, Chow CM, et al: Interatrial septal mobility predicts larger shunts across patent foramen ovales: An analysis with transmitral Doppler scanning. *Am Heart J* 2003;145:730–736.
64. Agmon Y, Khandheria BD, Meissner I, et al: Frequency of atrial septal aneurysms in patients with cerebral ischemic events. *Circulation* 1999;99:1942–1944.
65. Pearson AC, Nagelhout D, Castello R, et al: Atrial septal aneurysm and stroke: A transesophageal echocardiographic study. *J Am Coll Cardiol* 1991;18:1223–1229.
66. El-Chami MF, Hanna IR, Helmy T, et al: Atrial septal abnormalities and cryptogenic stroke: A paradoxical science. *Am Heart Hosp J* 2005;3:99–104.
67. Schneider B, Hofmann T, Justen MH, et al: Chiari's network: Normal anatomic variant or risk factor for arterial embolic events? *J Am Coll Cardiol* 1995;26:203–210.
68. Hanley PC, Tajik AJ, Hynes JK, et al: Diagnosis and classification of atrial septal aneurysm by two-dimensional echocardiography: Report of 80 consecutive cases. *J Am Coll Cardiol* 1985;6:1370–1382.
69. Silver MD, Dorsey JS: Aneurysms of the septum primum in adults. *Arch Pathol Lab Med* 1978;102:62–65.
70. Hanley PC, Tajik AJ, Hynes JK, et al: Diagnosis and classification of atrial septal aneurysm by two-dimensional echocardiography: Report of 80 consecutive cases. *J Am Coll Cardiol* 1985;6:1370–1382.
71. Overell JR, Bone I, Lees KR: Interatrial septal abnormalities and stroke: A meta-analysis of case-control studies. *Neurology* 2000;55:1172–1179.
72. Corliss CE: Patten's Human Embryology: Elements of Clinical Development. New York, NY, McGraw-Hill, 1976.
73. Chiari H: Uber netzbildungen im rechten vorhof des herzens. *Beitr Pathol Anat* 1897;22:1–10.
74. Siostrzonek P, Lang W, Zangeneh M, et al: Significance of left-sided heart disease for the detection of patent foramen ovale by transesophageal contrast echocardiography. *J Am Coll Cardiol* 1992;19:1192–1196.
75. Movsowitz HD, Movsowitz C, Jacobs LE, et al: Negative air-contrast test does not exclude the presence of patent foramen ovale by transesophageal echocardiography. *Am Heart J* 1993;126:1031–1032.
76. Kerut EK, McIlwain EF, Plotnick GD: Handbook of Echo-Doppler Interpretation, 2nd Ed. Elmsford, New York, Blackwell-Futura Publishing, 2004, p. 229.
77. Camp GV, Cosyns B, Vandenbossche J: Non-smoke spontaneous contrast in left atrium intensified by respiratory manoeuvres: A new transesophageal echocardiographic observation. *Br Heart J* 1994;72:446–451.
78. Germonpre P, Hastir F, Dendale P, et al: Evidence for increasing patency of the foramen ovale in divers. *Am J Cardiol* 2005;95:912–915.